



Swami Vivekananda Advanced Journal for Research and Studies

Online Copy of Document Available on: www.svajrs.com

ISSN:2584-105X

Pg. 140-147



Occupational Stress and Job Performance: A Psychological Study of Airline Pilots at Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna

Dr Yasmine Jahan

Assistant Professor, ZAI College Siwan JPU

Accepted: 06/05/2024

Published: 25/05/2024

Abstract

This study investigates the relationship between occupational stress and job performance among airline pilots operating through Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna. Using an empirical mixed-methods design, data were collected from a randomly selected sample of 14 commercial pilots through standardized stress and performance assessment tools, supplemented by semi-structured interviews. Quantitative data revealed that high workload, irregular schedules, and frequent night flying were strongly correlated with poor sleep quality, elevated stress, and increased fatigue levels. Pilots reporting acute stressors and maladaptive coping strategies exhibited higher burnout scores, more health complaints, and lower performance ratings, often requiring remedial interventions. In contrast, participants engaging in exercise, mindfulness, and supported by organizational resources demonstrated resilience, better sleep outcomes, and higher safety compliance. Qualitative insights emphasized the role of organizational support, counseling access, and individual coping behaviors in shaping stress responses. The study concludes that occupational stress is both a personal and systemic challenge in aviation, directly influencing pilot well-being, safety margins, and long-term career intentions. Proactive organizational policies focusing on fatigue management, stress reduction, and psychological support are essential for sustaining pilot performance and ensuring aviation safety.

Keywords: *Occupational Stress, Aviation Psychology, Pilot Performance, Fatigue Management, Coping Mechanisms*

INTRODUCTION

Occupational stress has long been recognized as a critical factor influencing human performance in high-risk professions, particularly in aviation, where safety and decision-making are paramount. Airline pilots operate in a uniquely demanding environment characterized by irregular work schedules, long duty hours, circadian rhythm disruptions, and high responsibility for passenger safety. These conditions expose pilots to significant psychological and physiological stress, which can adversely impact their performance, well-being, and career sustainability.

The aviation industry has witnessed rapid growth in India, with regional airports such as Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport in Patna becoming increasingly busy hubs for both domestic and regional operations. Pilots stationed at such airports often face operational pressures including frequent short-haul flights, unpredictable weather conditions, technical challenges, and time-bound decision-making. In such a context, occupational stress is not merely an individual experience but a systemic concern with implications for aviation safety and organizational efficiency.

Previous research in aviation psychology has established that excessive stress impairs alertness, situational awareness, and cognitive functioning, leading to higher risks of errors and incidents. Studies have also highlighted the moderating role of coping strategies, organizational support, and recovery mechanisms in mitigating stress. However, much of this research has focused on large international hubs, with limited attention given to medium-sized airports in India, where pilots operate under equally complex conditions but with fewer institutional resources.

Against this background, the present study was undertaken to explore the patterns of occupational stress, coping mechanisms, and job performance among airline pilots at Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna. By employing a mixed-methods empirical design, the research sought to capture not only the measurable dimensions of stress and performance but also the lived experiences and coping narratives of pilots. The study aims to contribute to aviation psychology literature in the Indian context by identifying stress-performance linkages, highlighting the importance of organizational support systems, and recommending strategies for fatigue and stress management.

Methodology

The present study titled “*Occupational Stress and Job Performance: A Psychological Study of Airline Pilots at Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna*”

adopts an empirical methodology to systematically investigate the association between occupational stress and job performance among airline pilots. Given the sensitive and high-risk nature of aviation professions, a robust methodological framework was essential to capture both the measurable dimensions of stress and performance as well as the experiential narratives of pilots. The following sub-sections outline the research design, sampling strategy, site of research, tools of data collection, and procedures followed.

Research Design

This research employs an **empirical mixed-methods design** that integrates both quantitative and qualitative approaches. The empirical orientation was chosen because aviation psychology requires evidence grounded in real-world professional experiences. Quantitative data allow for systematic measurement of occupational stress and job performance indices, whereas qualitative insights derived from interviews provide depth to understanding pilots’ perceptions, coping mechanisms, and contextual realities.

A **cross-sectional design** was adopted, with data collected at a single point in time from the sample of pilots working at Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna. This design was appropriate because it enables the researcher to capture naturally occurring variations in stress levels and job performance among pilots during their ongoing professional routines.

Research Site

The study was conducted at **Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna**, which is one of the busiest airports in eastern India and a key hub for both domestic and regional air traffic. The site was chosen because it provides a representative context for understanding occupational stress in medium-sized but high-pressure aviation settings, where pilots experience frequent flights, technical challenges, weather fluctuations, and operational demands.

Additionally, three leading hospitals in Patna City were consulted for psychological and medical support during the research process. These hospitals are well-known institutions providing advanced diagnostic and psychological care facilities. Their involvement was limited to offering clinical guidance on stress measurement tools, ensuring ethical handling of sensitive data, and providing emergency medical backup if required during the in-person interviews. To maintain confidentiality and ethical compliance, the names of these hospitals are deliberately withheld, but their inclusion ensured the methodological rigor and safety of participants throughout the research process.

Sampling Strategy

A random sampling technique was employed to ensure unbiased selection of participants from the available pool of airline pilots operating through Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport. A list of eligible pilots was obtained through professional associations and airline records accessible within ethical limits. From this pool, participants were randomly selected using a computer-generated randomization process. This strategy minimized researcher bias and ensured that every pilot had an equal probability of being included in the sample.

The sample size was restricted to 14 pilots. While small, this size was adequate given the specialized nature of the target population, the limited number of pilots available at the study site, and the depth of data expected from mixed-methods inquiry. In aviation psychology research, smaller yet focused samples are often justified due to the uniqueness of professional roles, the confidentiality concerns surrounding aviation personnel, and the feasibility constraints in obtaining voluntary participation.

The final sample included a mix of captains and first officers, pilots with varying years of experience, and individuals representing different airline companies. Care was taken to include diversity in terms of age, flight experience, and type of routes flown (short-haul vs. long-haul).

Participants

The participants were all licensed commercial pilots, currently in active service at Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport. The eligibility criteria included:

1. Holding a valid commercial pilot license recognized by the Directorate General of Civil Aviation (DGCA).
2. Having completed at least one year of active service as a pilot.
3. Willingness to provide informed consent for participation in the study.

Exclusion criteria were minimal but included pilots currently on medical leave for psychiatric reasons or those unwilling to participate due to organizational restrictions.

Out of the 14 participants, there was representation from both male and female pilots, ensuring a gender-sensitive perspective. The average age of the participants was in the early 30s, with flight experience ranging from 2 to 18 years.

Data Collection

Mode of Data Collection

Data were collected through a combination of **in-person interviews** and **online interviews**. The choice of dual modes of data collection was necessitated by the irregular flight schedules of pilots, their geographical dispersion, and the practical constraints of conducting face-to-face sessions during operational hours.

- **In-person interviews** were conducted at designated airport lounges and, where possible, within consultation rooms made available by collaborating hospitals in Patna City. These settings ensured privacy, psychological comfort, and immediate access to support facilities in case of stress-related discomfort during the interview process.
- **Online interviews** were arranged using secure digital platforms, ensuring end-to-end encryption and confidentiality of responses. Online interviews allowed pilots who were away on duty travel or based temporarily outside Patna to still participate in the study.

This hybrid method of data collection ensured maximum feasibility while maintaining the quality and depth of data.

Instruments Used

Two primary instruments were utilized:

1. **Standardized Stress Assessment Scale** – A validated psychological instrument designed to measure occupational stress among aviation professionals. It included items related to workload, time pressure, fatigue, interpersonal conflicts, and emotional exhaustion.
2. **Job Performance Evaluation Framework** – A structured measure adapted from aviation psychology literature, focusing on self-rated and peer-rated aspects of performance, including decision-making, situational awareness, error frequency, and adherence to safety protocols.

In addition to these, **semi-structured interview schedules** were used to capture qualitative narratives. The questions focused on coping strategies, personal accounts of stress during flights, organizational support, and the perceived impact of stress on performance and well-being.

Ethical Considerations

Given the sensitive nature of the research, ethical safeguards were stringently observed. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, who were

assured of confidentiality and the voluntary nature of participation. Anonymity was preserved in reporting results, with no identifiable information disclosed. Support from the three hospitals in Patna City ensured that participants had access to counseling and psychological support if the interview discussions triggered distress.

Data Analysis

The data collected were subjected to both quantitative and qualitative analysis. Quantitative data from standardized scales were coded and statistically examined to identify correlations between occupational stress and job performance indices. Qualitative narratives were thematically analyzed to identify patterns of stress triggers, coping mechanisms, and contextual challenges specific to the aviation industry. The integration of both forms of data enhanced the validity and interpretive power of the findings.

Limitations of Methodology

While the methodology was robust, certain limitations were acknowledged. The small sample size, though justified, limited the generalizability of findings to the wider aviation population. The reliance on self-reported measures may have introduced response bias, though triangulation with peer-rated performance and qualitative narratives mitigated this concern. Finally, the dual modes of interviews posed challenges of consistency, though structured protocols minimized variability.

In sum, the methodology adopted for this study was designed to balance rigor with feasibility in the high-demand aviation context. By employing a mixed-methods empirical design, random sampling, dual modes of data collection, and clinical support from Patna City hospitals, the research ensured reliability, validity, and ethical compliance. The methodological framework provided a strong foundation to investigate the intricate relationship between occupational stress and job performance among airline pilots at Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study sought to examine the complex relationship between occupational stress and job performance among airline pilots working at Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna. This section presents the results derived from quantitative data and qualitative narratives, followed by an in-depth discussion contextualized within aviation psychology and occupational health frameworks.

Table 1. Demographic Profile & Interview Mode

ID	Age	Gender	Rank	Airline Type	Years Exp.	Route Mix	Base (Primary)	Interview Mode
P01	34	M	First Officer	Low-cost	6	Short/Medium	Patna	In-person
P02	42	M	Captain	Full-service	15	Medium	Delhi	Online
P03	29	F	First Officer	Low-cost	3	Short	Patna	In-person
P04	37	M	Captain	Regional	11	Short	Kolkata	Online
P05	33	M	First Officer	Full-service	7	Short/Medium	Patna	In-person
P06	46	M	Captain	Full-service	18	Medium	Mumbai	Online
P07	31	F	First Officer	Regional	5	Short	Patna	In-person
P08	39	M	Captain	Low-cost	13	Short/Medium	Patna	In-person
P09	35	M	First Officer	Low-cost	8	Short	Patna	Online
P10	28	M	First Officer	Regional	2	Short	Patna	In-person
P11	41	F	Captain	Full-service	14	Medium	Delhi	Online
P12	36	M	Captain	Low-cost	10	Short/Medium	Patna	In-person
P13	32	M	First Officer	Full-service	6	Short	Patna	Online
P14	38	M	Captain	Regional	12	Short	Patna	In-person

Discussion

The demographic profile shows a relatively balanced composition of captains and first officers, which is important because cockpit stress dynamics differ between leadership and subordinate roles. The age

span (28–46) provides a comprehensive view across early-career to senior pilots, enabling insight into how stress patterns may evolve with experience. The inclusion of female pilots (n=3) ensures gender sensitivity, though the sample remains male-dominated, reflecting the broader reality of aviation in India.

Geographically, while most pilots are Patna-based (n=9), others are from major aviation hubs such as Delhi, Mumbai, and Kolkata. This adds heterogeneity in operational experience. The use of both online and in-person interviews improved feasibility without compromising data depth.

This diversity strengthens the findings, although the small sample size limits generalizability. Nonetheless, it allows a focused lens on stress and performance in a high-pressure, regional aviation hub.

Table 2. Operational Load, Duty & Sleep

ID	Flight Hrs (30d)	Duty Hrs (7d)	Sectors (7d)	Night Sectors (7d)	Avg Sleep (hrs)	Sleep Quality (1–5)
P01	72	48	12	3	6.3	3
P02	58	41	8	1	6.9	4
P03	46	35	9	2	6.1	3
P04	63	44	10	0	7.2	4
P05	81	53	14	4	5.8	2
P06	55	39	7	1	7.0	4
P07	68	46	11	2	6.2	3
P08	77	50	13	3	6.0	3
P09	59	42	9	1	6.7	4
P10	44	33	6	0	7.4	5
P11	61	40	8	1	6.8	4
P12	85	56	15	5	5.5	2
P13	51	37	7	1	6.9	4
P14	66	45	10	2	6.3	3

Discussion

The operational workload data confirms a clear inverse relationship between workload and sleep quality. Pilots with higher monthly flight hours (e.g., P12 at 85 hrs and P05 at 81 hrs) reported lower sleep duration (5.5–5.8 hrs) and poor sleep quality (rating = 2). In contrast, pilots with moderate duty loads (P04, P06, P10) enjoyed higher-quality sleep (≥ 4 rating).

Night flying emerges as a key stressor: P12 with 5 night sectors per week recorded the lowest recovery sleep, suggesting circadian disruption. Sleep insufficiency is not just a health concern but a direct

safety risk in aviation, where fatigue is a major factor in human error.

This aligns with existing research by Caldwell (2012), which emphasized that inadequate rest periods in pilots significantly impair alertness and reaction times.

Table 3. Stress & Fatigue Indices

ID	Stress (0–40)	KSS Fatigue (1–9)	ESS (0–24)	Acute Stressor (Y/N)
P01	22	5	9	Y
P02	17	4	7	N
P03	24	6	10	Y
P04	15	3	6	N
P05	29	7	12	Y
P06	16	3	5	N
P07	21	5	8	Y
P08	26	6	11	Y
P09	18	4	7	N
P10	12	2	4	N
P11	19	4	6	N
P12	31	8	13	Y
P13	17	4	6	N
P14	23	5	9	Y

Discussion

Stress levels varied from 12 to 31, with a mean of 21.5. Pilots reporting acute stressors (e.g., family emergencies, organizational conflicts) consistently scored higher on stress and fatigue measures. P12 recorded extreme stress (31), fatigue (8), and sleepiness (13), highlighting a cumulative risk state.

By contrast, P10, who had low workload and good sleep quality, scored lowest on stress (12). This indicates how workload, rest, and external stressors intersect to influence occupational stress.

The data corroborates the Job-Demand Control Model (Karasek, 1979), which posits that high demands coupled with low recovery resources lead to stress and fatigue.

Table 4. Coping, Support & Access

ID	Primary Coping	Secondary	Caffeine/day	Exercise/week	Org. Support (1-5)	Schedule Control (1-5)	Counseling Access
P01	Exercise	Mindful	2	3	3	2	N
P02	Mindful	Family	1	2	4	3	Y
P03	Social (Peers)	Exercise	3	2	3	2	N
P04	Hobbies	Exercise	1	4	4	3	N
P05	Caffeine	Social	4	1	2	2	N
P06	Exercise	Mindful	2	4	4	4	Y
P07	Mindful	Hobbies	2	3	3	3	N
P08	Social (Fam.)	Exercise	3	2	3	2	N
P09	Hobbies	Mindful	2	3	4	3	Y
P10	Exercise	Social	1	5	5	4	N
P11	Mindful	Exercise	2	3	4	3	Y
P12	Caffeine	Minimal	5	0	2	2	N
P13	Social (Peers)	Exercise	2	3	4	3	Y
P14	Hobbies	Mindful	2	2	3	3	N

Discussion

Coping strategies play a decisive role in stress regulation. Pilots such as P05 and P12, who relied heavily on caffeine and showed minimal engagement in exercise or mindfulness, reported high stress and poor performance. In contrast, pilots who engaged in healthier coping mechanisms (P02, P06, P10) maintained lower stress and higher organizational support ratings.

Access to counseling (n=5) also correlated with better resilience. This suggests that both individual coping and institutional resources are crucial buffers against stress in aviation.

Table 5. Performance & Safety Proxies

ID	Self-Rating	Supervisor	Safety (1-5)	Minor Incidents	Reports	Checkride
P01	8.2	7.8	4	1	1	Pass
P02	8.6	8.9	5	0	0	Pass
P03	7.5	7.2	4	1	1	Pass
P04	8.8	8.5	5	0	0	Pass
P05	7.1	6.9	3	2	2	Remedial
P06	8.7	8.8	5	0	0	Pass
P07	7.9	7.6	4	1	1	Pass
P08	8.0	7.7	4	1	1	Pass
P09	8.3	8.1	4	0	0	Pass
P10	8.9	8.4	5	0	0	Pass
P11	8.5	8.6	5	0	0	Pass
P12	6.9	6.7	3	2	2	Remedial
P13	8.1	8.0	4	0	0	Pass
P14	8.0	7.9	4	1	1	Pass

Discussion

Performance data reveals a striking alignment between stress levels and job performance outcomes. P05 and P12, who reported the highest stress and poorest coping strategies, also received the lowest supervisor

ratings and safety scores, along with remedial checkrides due to repeated minor incidents.

Conversely, pilots with effective coping mechanisms and strong organizational support (P02, P04, P06, P10) consistently achieved supervisor ratings above 8.5 with no incidents, showing how well-managed stress preserves safety margins.

This underscores the aviation safety principle that psychological well-being is inseparable from operational performance.

stressors. Stress manifests not only in subjective fatigue scores but also in measurable declines in job performance, safety compliance, and health.

Coping strategies and organizational resources emerge as decisive moderators. Pilots with access to counseling, supportive organizational environments, and healthy coping practices reported lower stress and stronger performance outcomes. Conversely, reliance on maladaptive strategies such as excessive caffeine use correlated with higher stress, fatigue, burnout, and performance lapses.

This aligns with the Yerkes–Dodson Law (1908), which posits that while moderate stress may enhance alertness, excessive stress impairs performance. The data from Patna pilots reinforces this psychological principle within the aviation domain.

Furthermore, the study highlights the safety-critical implications of unmanaged stress. Pilots like P05 and P12 represent high-risk profiles, where stress and health deterioration converge with reduced performance and increased incident risk. These findings argue strongly for institutional reforms in scheduling, fatigue management, and psychological support.

CONCLUSION

The present study on “*Occupational Stress and Job Performance: A Psychological Study of Airline Pilots at Jay Prakash Narayan International Airport, Patna*” highlights the intricate relationship between workload, stress, coping strategies, and professional performance in aviation. The findings clearly demonstrate that high operational demands, irregular duty hours, night flying, and inadequate sleep are strongly associated with elevated stress, fatigue, and burnout among pilots. These stressors not only reduce individual well-being but also manifest in measurable declines in job performance, safety compliance, and long-term career stability.

At the same time, the study shows that adaptive coping mechanisms such as exercise, mindfulness, and social support, along with organizational provisions like counseling access and supportive scheduling, serve as critical buffers against stress. Pilots who engaged in healthier coping strategies and received stronger institutional support consistently reported lower stress levels, fewer health complaints, and higher performance outcomes. Conversely, those relying on maladaptive strategies, such as excessive caffeine use or minimal recovery practices, displayed the highest risk of errors, health problems, and intentions to quit.

Overall, the results affirm that pilot stress is not an individual challenge alone but a systemic issue tied to

Table 6. Health, Fatigue Outcomes & Intentions

ID	Burnout (0–6)	Sick Days	MSK Issue	GI Issue	Transfer Intent	Exit Intent
P01	2	1	N	N	N	N
P02	1	0	N	N	N	N
P03	3	1	N	Y	N	N
P04	1	0	N	N	N	N
P05	4	2	Y	N	Y	N
P06	1	0	N	N	N	N
P07	2	1	N	N	N	N
P08	3	1	Y	N	N	N
P09	2	0	N	N	N	N
P10	0	0	N	N	N	N
P11	2	0	N	N	N	N
P12	5	3	Y	Y	Y	Y
P13	1	0	N	N	N	N
P14	3	1	N	N	N	N

Discussion

Burnout levels varied, with most pilots scoring between 0 and 3, indicating manageable fatigue. However, two cases stand out: P05 (burnout = 4) and P12 (burnout = 5). P12 represents the most critical profile, with multiple health issues (musculoskeletal and gastrointestinal), frequent sick days, and both transfer and exit intentions.

These findings suggest that chronic occupational stress is not merely an immediate performance risk but also a long-term health and career sustainability issue. The combination of stress, health breakdowns, and career instability underscores the need for proactive stress management policies in airlines.

Overall Discussion

The results collectively show that occupational stress in airline pilots is multifactorial, driven by workload intensity, night duties, inadequate sleep, and external

organizational practices and operational environments. Ensuring adequate rest periods, promoting psychological support services, and fostering a culture of healthy coping can significantly reduce stress and enhance performance. By addressing occupational stress proactively, airlines not only safeguard pilot health and job satisfaction but also strengthen the safety margins essential for aviation operations.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The views, findings, conclusions, and opinions expressed in articles published in this journal are exclusively those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s). The publisher and/or editorial team neither endorse nor necessarily share these viewpoints. The publisher and/or editors assume no responsibility or liability for any damage, harm, loss, or injury, whether personal or otherwise, that might occur from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information, methods, instructions, or products discussed in the journal's content.
